

## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 IAEA V 01358 141747Z

46

ACTION OES-04

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 EA-06 IO-10 ISO-00 ACDA-05 CIAE-00

INR-07 L-02 NSAE-00 NSC-05 FEAE-00 SP-02 SS-15

DODE-00 PA-01 USIA-06 PRS-01 /077 W

----- 038027

P 141713Z FEB 75

FM USMISSION IAEA VIENNA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 5499

INFO AEC GERMANTOWN PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY LONDON PRIORITY

AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY CANBERRA

AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

AMEMBASSY OTTAWA

C O N F I D E N T I A L IAEA VIENNA 1358

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PARM, TECH, IAEA, UK

SUBJ: UK PROPOSAL FOR PNE COMMITTEE

REF: (A) IAEA VIENNA 1229 (NOTAL)

(B) STATE 031756 (NOTAL)

1. FIFTH CONSULTATIVE MEETING ON PROPOSED COMMITTEE  
MET AT UK MISSION MORNING FEB 14. UK, CANADA, AUSTRALIA,  
NETHERLANDS, JAPAN, ITALY, FRG, US ATTENDED. SOVIETS  
AND SWEDES FAILED TO APPEAR.

2. IMPORTANT US AMENDMENTS TO PROPOSED UK RESOLUTION HAD  
BEEN CIRCULATED IN ADVANCE OF MEETING ALONG WITH REVISED  
TEXT RESULTING FROM CONSULTATIONS REPORTED REF (A). WE  
AND UK HAD ALSO HAD EXTENSIVE PRIVATE CONSULTATIONS WITH  
FRG, CANADA, AUSTRALIA AND JAPAN PRIOR TO MEETING.

3. IN ABSENCE OF SOVIETS, I COULD TALK MORE FREELY  
ABOUT US CONCERNS AND OBJECTIVES. CANADIANS WERE  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 IAEA V 01358 141747Z

EXTREMELY HELPFUL IN SUPPORTING US POSITION. FRG AND

AUSTRALIANS CONTINUED TO CARRY OUT HARDLINE INSTRUCTIONS FROM CAPITALS WITHOUT BEING ABLE EXPLAIN BASIS OR REASONING UNDERLYING THEIR INSTRUCTIONS.

4. COMPROMISE LANGUAGE WAS ACHIEVED AS FOLLOWS:

(A) OPERATIVE PARA 1AI, WORD "POSSIBLE" INSERTED PER REF B.

(B) OPERATIVE PARA 1AIV, PHRASE "INCLUDING COMPARISONS WITH NON-NUCLEAR ALTERNATIVES" WAS ADDED.

(C) OPERATIVE PARA 1B, "FACTORS INVOLVED IN THE" ADDED PER INSTRUCTIONS.

(D) OPERATIVE PARA 1C REVISED TO READ "TO ADVISE IT, WITHIN THE AGENCY'S SPHERE OF COMPETENCE, ON THE STRUCTURE AND CONTENT OF AGREEMENTS NECESSARY UNDER ARTICLE V OF THE NPT".

(E) AT END OF OPERATIVE PARA 1AIV, FOLLOWING PHRASE WAS ADDED: "TAKING INTO ACCOUNT THE WORK DONE IN THESE FIELDS BY TECHNICAL COMMITTEES UNDER THE AUSPICES OF THE AGENCY." IN AMPLIFICATION OF THIS ADDED PHRASE A COVERING MEMORANDUM TRANSMITTING TEXT OF RESOLUTION TO BOARD WILL, INTER ALIA, STATE "IT SHOULD BE EMPHASIZED THAT THE COMMITTEE'S WORK WOULD BE COMPLEMENTARY TO AND WOULD IN NO WAY DUPLICATE THAT ALREADY BEING UNDERTAKEN BY THE SECRETARIAT."

5. THERE ARE OTHER TEXTUAL CHANGES IN DRAFT RESOLUTION BUT NONE OF REAL SUBSTANCE. WE WILL CABLE TEXT WHEN CLEAN DRAFT AVAILABLE.

6. JAPAN SUPPORTED US PROPOSALS. FRG AND AUSTRALIA TOOK TOUGH LINE ON OPERATIVE PARA 1C INSISTING THAT COMMITTEE SHOULD BE DIRECTLY INVOLVED IN WORK ON STRUCTURE AND CONTENTS OF AGREEMENTS PURSUANT TO ARTICLE V OF NPT. COMPROMISE WHICH WE WORKED OUT WAS BEST WE COULD ACHIEVE AND AUSTRALIANS (PERHAPS BECAUSE OF POOR COMMUNICATIONS CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 IAEA V 01358 141747Z

WITH CANBERRA) HAVE RESERVED ON THE COMPROMISE. FRG RELUCTANTLY ACCEPTED. IT NOW APPEARS THAT IN ADDITION TO UK, JAPAN, FRG AND AUSTRALIA (ASSUMING RESERVATION IS LIFTED) WILL CO-SPONSOR RESOLUTION WHICH WILL BE PLACED ON BOARD AGENDA BEGINNING NEXT WEEK. CANADA, ALTHOUGH TAKING GENERALLY NEGATIVE VIEW ON WHOLE EXERCISE, HAS NONETHELESS AGREED QUERY OTTAWA ON POSSIBLE CO-SPONSORSHIP. UK RES REP JACKSON IS SYMPATHETIC TO US POSITION WITH RESPECT

TO SOVITS AND AGREES THAT WE SHOULD NOT CO-SPONSOR.

7. SOVIET ABSENCE WAS TO HAVE BEEN EXPECTED IN MISSION VIEW DESPITE THE SWEETNERS IN THEIR DIRECTION THAT HAVE BEEN ADDED TO DRAFT. WE FEEL THEY STILL HOPE TO CONVINCE WILSON DURING MOSCOW VISIT THAT THE COMMITTEE'S CREATION IS PREMATURE AND SHOULD BE POSTPONED UNTIL AFTER FEB BOARD. OTHER STATES MAY VERY WELL TAKE THIS LINE-- SOME USING EXCUSE THAT THEY HAVE NOT HAD SUFFICIENT TIME TO CONSIDER THE MATTER AND FORM A JUDGMENT. AT BEST, UK RESOLUTION WILL NOT BE CIRCULATED UNTIL MIDDLE NEXT WEEK, ONLY A FEW DAYS BEFORE BOARD MEETING ON FEB 25. ALSO, NONE OF THE NON-NPT MEMBER STATES ON BOARD HAVE BEEN HEARD FROM EXCEPT PAKISTAN, WHOSE LOCAL REP HAS TOLD US THAT HE EXPECTS EXTENSIVE DEBATE ON THE PNE RESOLUTION. ALL IN ALL, WE THINK FATE OF COMMITTEE IS STILL UNCERTAIN AND BOARD DEBATE COULD BE DIFFICULT IF SOVIETS DECIDED TO OPPOSE. WE WILL BE CONSULTING EXTENSIVELY NEXT WEEK TO SEE WHERE MATTERS STAND AND WILL THEN SUBMIT RECOMMENDATIONS AS TO POSSIBLE US BOARD POSITION. IN MEANTIME, WE WILL MAINTAIN GENERAL POSTURE SET FORTH REF B.PORTER

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** NPT, TEXT, RESOLUTIONS, PEACEFUL NUCLEAR EXPLOSIONS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 14 FEB 1975  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** GolinoFR  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1975IAEAV01358  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** GS  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** D750054-0932  
**From:** IAEA VIENNA  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1975/newtext/t19750242/aaaablwf.tel  
**Line Count:** 137  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ACTION OES  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** (A) IAEA VIENNA 1229 (NOTAL)  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** GolinoFR  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 31 MAR 2003  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <31 MAR 2003 by BoyleJA>; APPROVED <02 APR 2003 by GolinoFR>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
05 JUL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** UK PROPOSAL FOR PNE COMMITTEE  
**TAGS:** PARM, TECH, UK, IAEA  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006